Organization IC1600 Bldg.Room
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

RECEIVED

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

NIXIE

RETURN TO SENDER NOT DELLYERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD RETURN TO SENDER



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,698	09/09/2003	Edward I. Budowsky	V0191.70036US00	3152
John R. Van Amsterdam, Ph.D., Esq. 600 Atlantic Avenue			EXAMINER	
			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
Boston, MA 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/658,698	BUDOWSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE MAN INC DATE SAVE	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	ptember 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)				
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	ent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,698

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 10-12, and 14-16, drawn to methods of inactivating virus in protein containing compositions, classified in class 435, subclass 238.
 - II. Claims 2,4-6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, and 16, drawn to methods of inactivating virus in cell containing compositions classified in class 435, subclass 2.

For each of Groups I and II above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Groups I-II, and one of inventions (A)-(B). Inventions (A) and (b) represent the elected invention wherein the virus inactivated is (A) an enveloped virus, or (B) a non-enveloped virus.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The inventions of Groups I and II, and of subgroups (A) and (B) are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the case of Groups I and II, the different inventions are drawn to method of inactivating virus in different categories of compositions. The inventions of Groups I and II are distinct because the use of viral inactivation compounds may have different effects on the composition depending on what other types of compounds (i.e. cells or proteins) are in the composition. Because the use of the indicated viral inactivators may have different effects, and

Application/Control Number: 10/658,698

Art Unit: 1648

because the methods are drawn to method of treating different categories of compositions, the inventions are distinct.

In the case of subgroups (A) and (B), the inventions are drawn to methods of inactivating different types of virus. The methods are therefore achieving different effects, and are therefore distinct.

3. It is here noted that some of the restrictions requirements made above fall within the scope of PTO Linking claim practice. In accordance with this practice as described in MPEP 809.03, linking claims will be considered with the elected invention. If the elected invention is found allowable, the linking claim will also be examined. If no substantive rejection is found for the linking claim, the restriction among the Groups it comprises will be withdrawn.

Claim 1 is considered a linking claim to the inventions of Groups I and II.

Species Elections

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For Group I above, if the Applicant elects this group of inventions, the Applicant is additionally required to elect one of the protein containing compositions identified in the claims (e.g. in claim 10).

For Group II above, if the Applicant elects this group of inventions, the Applicant is additionally required to elect one of the cell containing compositions identified in the claims (e.g. in claim 9).

. Application/Control Number: 10/658,698

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 3 and 4 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and because the literature and sequence searches required for any one of the groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,698

Art Unit: 1648

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 5

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L 11000

Patent Examiner

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600